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I. INTRODUCTION

Equivalent circuit models, also referred to as network models, have gained attention for modeling antenna arrays and multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) systems, allowing circuit effects such as amplifier noise, matching, and reconfigurability to be studied [1]-[5]. Such models are computationally attractive, since the transmit and receive arrays can be represented as equivalent circuits, requiring only a modest number of full-wave simulations or measurements, after which circuits of varying complexity can analyzed with efficient circuit-level simulation. In [6] it was formally proven that antenna arrays in the transmit and receive mode can be modeled with network analysis and that the same impedance matrix (with the exception of a transpose) can be used for both modes. In [7], the effect of mutual coupling on adaptive arrays is studied by considering an equivalent network model, yielding a simple linear relationship between the loaded and open-circuit voltages on a receive array, and a beamformer for optimal signal to interference and noise ratio (SINR) is derived. More recently, [8] gives the equivalent circuit of a single receive antenna and [9] provides an equivalent circuit for a receiving array.

In contrast to work endorsing simple equivalent circuit models, there is also work that questions the use of such models. For example, [10] suggests that the compensation method in [7] is suboptimal since the network model only assumes a single basis function per antenna, and an improved compensation method based on a full moment method model of the array is proposed. In [11] the use of Norton or Thévenin equivalent circuits for receiving antennas is challenged, since power absorbed by the internal impedance of the network does not have a strict physical meaning, and a different model is proposed based on a constant power source. A physically appealing model is also proposed in [12] that includes the transmit antenna in the equivalent receive model. Recent work [13], [14] also questions the use of array transmit-mode mutual impedances for the receive mode, which is troubling from the standpoint of reciprocity.

The purpose of this paper is to provide a straightforward but rigorous analysis of antenna arrays based on method of moments (MOM), illustrating that equivalent circuit models are exact and that receive-mode behavior of an array can be exactly predicted by usual transmit-mode quantities. Although these observations are basically equivalent to those in [6], the MOM analysis here has a number of advantages: the development is simpler and intuitive, the transmit and receive modes do not need to be considered separately, and the MOM discretization provides an exact definition for the ports. The analysis provides valuable insight on the operation of equivalent circuit models, such as the connection of transmit and receive mode, the number of basis functions (or degrees of freedom) required to represent currents accurately on an antenna array, and potential sources of inaccuracy in network models. Several numerical examples are provided based on full-wave simulations that validate the analytical results.

The paper is organized as follows: Section II derives Z, Y, and S-parameter equivalent circuit models of antenna arrays in the transmit/receive mode from MOM. Section III illustrates two important applications of the models, namely reconfigurable antennas and MIMO systems. Section IV provides numerical examples that demonstrate the observations of the MOM analysis. Section V provides concluding remarks.

II. METHOD OF MOMENTS ANALYSIS OF MULTI-ELEMENT ARRAYS

In this section, we rigorously analyze general antenna arrays accessible at a finite set of \( N_p \) ports by applying the method of moments. Although we restrict our attention to antennas composed of perfect electric conductor (PEC) surfaces, we do so only for the sake of simplicity, and the method can be naturally extended to dielectric and magnetic materials, finite conductivity, etc. This exercise provides valuable intuition on the connection between network analysis and full-wave analysis, and the requirements for good agreement. Furthermore, we prove that mutual interactions of the transmit mode, receive mode, and combined transmit/receive mode can be captured with a single equivalent model that involves the usual (transmit mode) mutual impedance matrix.

A. Governing Equations

Figure 1 depicts a general antenna array in a free-space medium where signals can be driven and/or measured at \( N_p \).
ports. An externally applied incident field may also be present, denoted by $e^{\text{inc}}$, which is general (plane wave, spherical wave, etc.).

Total field at observation point $r$ is given in terms of current density $j(r)$ on the antenna according to [15]

$$e(r) = e^{\text{inc}}(r) + \int G(r, r')j(r')dr',$$

where $G(r, r')$ is the dyadic Green’s function for free space. MOM discretization is performed using basis expansion $j(r) = \sum_n i_n f_n(r)$, or

$$e(r) = e^{\text{inc}}(r) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_n} i_n \int G(r, r')f_n(r')dr',$$

followed by the projection onto the weighting functions $w_n(r)$

$$\begin{align*}
\int w_m^T(r)e(r)dr &= \int w_m^T(r)e^{\text{inc}}(r)dr \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{N_n} i_n \int w_m^T(r)G(r, r')f_n(r')dr',
\end{align*}$$

which gives the usual linear relationship

$$v = e^{\text{inc}} + Qi.$$  

We choose $f_n(r)$ and $w_n(r)$ to have identical local support $r \in \Omega_n$, such that $i_n$ and $v_n$ represent the current and voltage on the $n$th element, respectively.

The basis functions are ordered so that the first $N_p$ correspond to port terminals, where $i_n$ and $v_n$ for $n \in \{1, \ldots, N_p\}$ are the port currents and voltages. Many choices of the $f_n(r)$ and $w_n(r)$ are possible, but a simple choice is a filament properly connecting the two port terminals, where $i_n$ is the current on the filament, and $v_n$ is the contour integral for voltage between the terminals. Note that basis and weighting functions for elements on the PEC structure are chosen to be tangential to these surfaces.
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Appropriately partitioning (4) into elements at the ports ($P$) and on the PEC antenna array structure ($A$),

$$v_P = v^{\text{inc}}_P + Q_{PP}i_P + Q_{PA}i_A,$$

and plugging into (5) gives the voltages on the ports in terms of the port currents only, or

$$v^\text{oc}_P = v^{\text{inc}}_P - Q_{PP}Q_{AA}^{-1}v^{\text{inc}}_A + (Q_{PP} - Q_{PA}Q_{AA}^{-1}Q_{AP})i_P.$$

This resulting system is equivalent to the network model depicted in Figure 2. Thus, the operation of the array can be computed exactly if we know the open circuit voltages $v^\text{oc}$ for all incident fields of interest as well as the impedance matrix $Z$.

Radiated fields from the antenna can be computed using (2), where the partitioning $M(r) = [M_P(r) \ M_A(r)]$ yields

$$e(r) = e^{\text{inc}}(r) + M_A i_A + M_P i_P,$$

$$= e^{\text{inc}}(r) - M_A Q_{AA}^{-1}v^{\text{inc}}_A + (M_P - M_A Q_{AA}^{-1}Q_{AP})i_P,$$

where $e^{\text{scat}}$ is field scattered by the antenna for $i_P = 0$ (open circuit) and $e^{\text{scat}}_P(r)$ is interpreted as the radiation pattern of port $n$ for polarization $k$ for unit input current when the other ports are open-circuited. Typically, we are most interested in far-fields of the array, and the expression can be simplified to

$$e(r) = e^{\text{inc}}(r) + [E^{\text{oc}}(r)i_P + e^{\text{scat}}(r)]\exp(-jkr)/r.$$  

In the transmit mode, $e^{\text{inc}} = 0$, $v^{\text{inc}} = 0$, and $e^{\text{scat}} = 0$ so that the radiated fields are just a superposition of the open-circuit embedded patterns weighted by the port currents. For the receive mode (and combined mode) the scattered field term must also be included.
physical transmit impedance, or transmit case, \( Z^C \) can be used for the receive system. Also, \([6]\) shows that the \( v \) with a real normalizing impedance, it can be shown that this related by reciprocity, however, since the original and complementary systems are \( Z^C \) should be used for the receive case. This is in contrast to the development in \([13]\) that questions the use of transmit mutual impedances for the receive mode.

We also compare with the result in \([6]\), where it was shown that the receive mode mutual impedance matrix is the transpose of that for the transmit mode. Although a reciprocal antenna and medium are considered here, the same equivalent circuit as in Figure 2 would be obtained for non-reciprocal (general bianisotropic) materials. The impedance matrix does not transpose due to \( e^{inc} \) or what is connected to the ports, indicating that \( Z \) must be the same for both the transmit and receive mode.

To remedy the apparent dilemma, it must be noted that \([6]\) invokes the Lorentz reciprocity theorem, where for non-reciprocal materials the system must be changed to a new system with complementary materials \([15]\). Given that the original transmit impedance matrix is \( Z \), we denote the transmit impedance matrix of the complementary system as \( Z^C \).

In \([6]\) it was actually shown that whereas \( Z \) is used for the transmit case, \( (Z^C)\) should be used for the receive case. However, since the original and complementary systems are related by reciprocity, \( Z = (Z^C)^T, \) and \( Z \) alone (no transpose) can be used for the receive system. Also, \([6]\) shows that the load matrix \( Z_L = (Z^C)^* \) is optimal for receive power transfer, but this is the same as a Hermitian match to the original physical transmit impedance, or \( Z_L = Z^H \). For S-parameters with a real normalizing impedance, it can be shown that this condition is the same as \( S_L = S^H \), which was proven to provide maximum power transfer in \([2]\).

**C. Comparison of Transmit and Receive Mode**

Our analysis shows that the only difference in using the antenna in transmit versus receive mode is that for the pure transmit case, \( v^{oc} = 0 \) so that a linear system is obtained, whereas \( v^{oc} \neq 0 \) for the receive case. Also, note that \( Z \) is the usual transmit mutual impedance, and there is no approximation when using this for the receive case. This is in contrast to the development in \([13]\) that questions the use of transmit mutual impedances for the receive mode.

For receive array calibration, the direct arrangement in Figure 3(a) may be preferred. The quantity \( v^{oc} \) can be obtained by terminating the array with the load impedance matrix \( Z_L \). Defining \( i \) to be the vector of currents flowing into the antenna ports,

\[
v = v^{oc} + Z_i \quad (14)
\]

\[
v = -Z_L i \quad \text{ (15)}
\]

Proper combination gives

\[
v^{oc} = (I + ZZ_L^{-1})v \quad \text{ (16)}
\]

indicating that the open-circuit voltage can be computed using a measurable voltage \( v \) across known loads \( Z_L \).

**D. Computation of \( v^{oc} \)**

The exact network model requires knowledge of \( v^{oc} \) for all incident fields \( e^{inc}(\hat{r}) \) of interest. In many cases, external sources are far away from the array and \( v^{oc}(\hat{r}) \) only needs to be found for plane-wave incidence. In this case, reciprocity arguments can be used to obtain \( v^{oc}(\hat{r}) \) in terms of the radiated far-fields for the transmit-only mode.

Consider the configuration depicted in Figure 3(a) for finding \( v^{oc}(\hat{r}) \), which is the open-circuit voltage induced on the array due to a plane wave originating from point \( \hat{r} \). Here, a transmit reference antenna is placed at coordinate \( r \), which is in the far-field of the array near the origin, and driven with current \( i_{ref} \). If we use a simple Hertzian dipole for the reference antenna, the field present at the origin is

\[
e_0 = \hat{\ell} \ell \ell_{ref} \exp(-jkr)/4\pi r
\]

where \( \hat{\ell} \) is the orientation and \( \ell \) the effective length of the reference antenna, and \( k \) and \( \eta \) are the wavenumber and intrinsic impedance of the background medium.

Next, consider the reciprocal system in Figure 3(b), which will give the same value of \( v^{oc} \). The field incident on the reference antenna is

\[
e^{inc}(\hat{r}) = e^{oc}(\hat{r})\ell_{ref} \exp(-jkr)/r
\]

The open-circuit voltage on the Hertzian dipole is

\[
v^{oc}_0(\hat{r}) = -e^{inc}(\hat{r}) \cdot \hat{\ell} \ell = -e^{oc}(\hat{r}) \cdot \hat{\ell} \ell_{ref} \exp(-jkr)/r
\]

\[
= \frac{j4\pi}{k\eta} e_0 \exp(-jkr)/r
\]

Thus, the receive-mode open-circuit voltages for plane-wave incidence can be computed using the transmit-mode open-circuit embedded radiation patterns.

For receive array calibration, the direct arrangement in Figure 3(a) may be preferred. The quantity \( v^{oc} \) can be obtained by terminating the array with the load impedance matrix \( Z_L \). Defining \( i \) to be the vector of currents flowing into the antenna ports,

\[
v = v^{oc} + Z_i \quad \text{ (14)}
\]

\[
v = -Z_L i \quad \text{ (15)}
\]

Proper combination gives

\[
v^{oc} = (I + ZZ_L^{-1})v \quad \text{ (16)}
\]

indicating that the open-circuit voltage can be computed using a measurable voltage \( v \) across known loads \( Z_L \).

**E. Degrees of Freedom of an \( N_P \) Port Array**

As the number of MOM basis functions \( N_B \) used to discretize the antennas grows large, one would expect that an equally large number of parameters would be needed to represent the current distribution \( i_A \) on the antennas. Here we illustrate that often a more concise representation is possible.

Consider the array in transmit mode where \( e^{inc} = 0 \rightarrow v^{inc} = 0 \). According to \((7)\), \( i_A \) is a weighted sum of at most \( N_P \) linearly independent vectors spanning the columns of \( \xi = Q_A Q_A^* \), regardless of what is attached to the ports (loads or sources). Therefore, only \( N_P \) independent basis functions are needed to completely represent currents on the array. Next consider the receive mode with a fixed \( e^{inc} \neq 0 \). The incident field simply adds one additional basis vector in \((7)\), meaning the \( i_S \) can be decomposed into a weighted sum of \( N_P + 1 \) fixed basis vectors, regardless of port termination.

For the receive mode when \( e^{inc} \) is not fixed, the number of required basis vectors is at most \( N_P + N_E \), where \( N_E \) is the number of linearly independent \( v^{inc} \) that can exist, which
may be large in practice. However, in some special cases, the $v_{inc}^{oc}$ are linearly dependent. For example, if the array consists of minimally scattering thin-wire dipoles [16] and $e^{inc}(r)$ is a plane wave coming from direction $\hat{r}$ and oriented parallel to the dipoles, $v_{inc}^{oc}$ for each antenna is a scan angle dependent scalar times a constant vector. According to (7), the current $i_A$ on each antenna requires at most one basis vector in addition to the $N_P$ transmit mode vectors, meaning up to $2N_P$ vectors are needed to represent all current distributions on the array. In [10] it is correctly observed that one basis function per antenna is insufficient to represent antenna currents on a dipole array with parallel plane-wave excitation. However, our analysis shows that only two basis functions are needed per antenna, as long as they span the correct subspace.

**F. Alternative Model Parameterizations**

Although equivalent, there are times when other network parameterizations are desirable. Multiplying both sides of (8) by $Z^{-1}$ results in the admittance formulation

$$i_P = \frac{Z^{-1}v_P^{inc} + Z^{-1}v_P}{Y},$$

where $Y$ is the admittance matrix and $i_P^{inc}$ is the current flowing into the ports due to the incident field when all ports are short-circuited. Substituting $i_P$ from (17) into expression (9) for radiated fields,

$$e(r) = e^{inc}(r) + \left[\frac{e^{scat}(r) + E^{inc}(r)i_P^{inc}}{Y} + E^{inc}(r)Y v_P, \right. \left. e^{scat}(r) \right].$$

where $E^{inc}(r)$ are the transmit-mode short-circuit embedded patterns where the $n$th pattern (column) is obtained by placing a unit voltage source across the $n$th port and zero volts (short circuit) across the other ports.

The scattering parameter (S-parameter) formulation relates the ingoing waves $a$ and outgoing waves $b$ on the network ports, which are related to the port voltages and currents according to

$$v_P = Z_0^{-1}(a_P + b_P), \quad i_P = Z_0^{-1}(a_P - b_P),$$

where $Z_0$ is an arbitrary normalizing impedance. Substituting $v_P$ and $i_P$ from (19) into (8) and rearranging yields

$$b_P = \sqrt{Z_0(Z + Z_0I)^{-1}}v_P^{inc} + (Z + Z_0I)^{-1}(Z - Z_0I) a_P, \quad b_P^{inc} = S,$$

where $b_P^{inc}$ is the outward traveling wave due to the incident field for matched circuits (loads with impedance $Z_0$) connected to all ports, and $S$ is the transmit S-parameter matrix of array. Substituting (20) into (19),

$$i_P = Z_0^{-1}[(I - S)a_P - b_P^{inc}],$$

which substituted into (9) yields

$$e(r) = e^{inc}(r) + e^{inc, scat}\sqrt{Z_0}b_P^{inc} + \frac{E^{inc}(r)}{\sqrt{Z_0}}(I - S)a_P, \quad e^{inc, scat}(r) \left[ \begin{array}{c} E^{inc}(r) \\ E^{inc}(r) \end{array} \right],$$

where $e^{inc, scat}(r)$ is the scattered field due to incident field with matched loads on all ports, and $E^{inc}(r)$ are the matched circuit patterns.

**G. Potential Sources of Inaccuracy**

Although (8) and other network representations are exact, it is instructive to consider how improper application may lead to potential inaccuracy.

1) **Isolated vs. Embedded Element Patterns:** A common simplifying assumption in equivalent circuit models of antenna arrays is the use of isolated transmit and receive patterns, rather than precise embedded patterns. For an array composed of identical elements, this approach only requires a single pattern to be found followed by pattern multiplication with the array factor.

The operation of isolated elements is defined from (5) and (6) by replacing $Q$ with the block matrix $Q_{iso}$, where

$$q_{iso}^{1/m} = \begin{cases} q_{mm}, & a(m) = a(m') \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

and the function $n = a(m)$ maps the basis function index $m$ to its associated antenna port $n$. Assuming isolated antennas in the transmit mode, $I_A = -Q_{iso}^{-1}Q_{iso}I_P$ implies that the current $i_A$ on an entire open-circuited antenna is zero. In the receive mode, a similar substitution of $Q = Q_{iso}$ is made for $v^{oc}$ in (8), which leads to the observation that when $v^{oc}$ is computed, only the currents induced by $v_{A,m}$ for $a(m) = n$ are used and currents on other antennas are treated as zero.

Antennas that exhibit negligible current when open-circuited, or $Q \approx Q_{iso}$, are referred to as minimally scattering antennas [16], where the principle example is thin wire dipoles. Clearly for general antennas, $Q$ will not be close to $Q_{iso}$, meaning that significant currents can flow on the surface of an open-circuited antenna. Since these currents will affect $e^{oc}$ and $v^{oc}$, assuming isolated patterns can lead to significant error in network computations.

2) **Current Distribution on Loads and Sources:** The network model in Figure 2 allows arbitrary loads and sources to be connected to the ports. However, note that the model is only exact when the current densities on the ports have the same distribution as the basis functions that were chosen for computing $Q$. When the current distributions on the ports are significantly altered, the matrices $Q_{PP}$, $Q_{PA}$, and $Q_{AP}$ are also different, leading to error.

**III. APPLICATIONS OF EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT MODELS**

This section considers two important applications of equivalent circuit models: efficient analysis of reconfigurable antennas and MIMO systems.

**A. Network Analysis of Reconfigurable Antennas**

Network analysis is an attractive solution for analyzing reconfigurable antennas with a large number of possible states, since the number of required full-wave simulations can be kept to a minimum. In this section, we illustrate that if $N_R$ out of $N_R + N_P$ ports are terminated with loads (such as
reconfigurable elements), this simply creates a new effective array with \( N_p \) ports having modified network parameters and new impedance/reception patterns. Also, we use this framework to show that the impedance matrix of a non-reciprocal system is the same for transmit and receive modes, and only the transmit and reception patterns are different.

Consider a reconfigurable antenna array with \( N_R + N_p \) total ports, where \( N_R \) ports are terminated with loads (such as reconfigurable elements) and \( N_p \) are left accessible to be connected to transmit or receive RF chains. Letting vector ports 1 and 2 correspond to the accessible and reconfigurable terminations, respectively, we have

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  v_1 \\
  v_2
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
  Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\
  Z_{21} & Z_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  v_1^{oc} \\
  v_2^{oc}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

(24)

Terminating port 2 with a network having the impedance matrix \( Z_L \), we have \( v_2 = -Z_L i_2 \), and solving for \( v_1 \)

\[
v_1 = \frac{Z_{11} - Z_{12}(Z_{22} + Z_L)^{-1}Z_{21}}{Z'} i_1
+ \frac{v_1^{oc} - Z_{12}(Z_{22} + Z_L)^{-1}v_2^{oc}}{v_1^{oc}},
\]

(25)

which means that the loaded antenna system forms a new circuit with impedance matrix \( Z' \) and open-circuit voltage \( v_1^{oc} \). The far-field of the array can be computed using (10) where

\[
E^{oc}(\hat{r}) i_p = E_1^{oc}(\hat{r}) i_1 + E_2^{oc}(\hat{r}) i_2
\]

with \( E_1^{oc}(\hat{r}) \) and \( E_2^{oc}(\hat{r}) \) are radiation pattern matrices for the accessible and loaded ports, respectively. Eliminating \( i_2 \)

\[
e(\hat{r}) = e^{inc}(\hat{r}) + (E_1^{oc}(\hat{r}) - E_2^{oc}(\hat{r})(Z_{22} + Z_L)^{-1}Z_{21}) i_1
+ e^{oc,scat}(\hat{r}) - E_2^{oc}(\hat{r})(Z_{22} + Z_L)^{-1}v_2^{oc}\) \exp(-jkr)/r,
\]

(27)

indicating that the loaded array can be treated as a usual array as before except with modified open-circuit radiation patterns.

It is instructive to consider the case where the unloaded array and channel are reciprocal (\( Z = Z^T \)), but the loading is not (\( Z_L \neq Z_L^T \)). The unloaded open-circuit voltage can still be computed from (13), or

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  v_1^{oc} \\
  v_2^{oc}
\end{bmatrix} = \alpha \begin{bmatrix}
  E_1^{oc}(\hat{r}) T e_0 \\
  E_2^{scat}(\hat{r}) T e_0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

(28)

Substituting into (25),

\[
v_1^{oc} = \alpha E_1^{oc}(\hat{r}) T e_0 - \alpha Z_{12}(Z_{22} + Z_L)^{-1}E_2^{oc}(\hat{r}) T e_0,
\]

(29)

\[
E^{oc}(\hat{r}, Z_L^T)
\]

Thus, although the radiation pattern \( E^{oc}(\hat{r}, Z_L^T) \) in (27) is different from the reception pattern \( E^{rc}(\hat{r}, Z_L^T) \), the same impedance matrix \( Z' \) in (25) is used for both transmit and receive modes.

B. Equivalent Circuit Models for MIMO Systems

Having established the conditions for validity of network models for antenna arrays in Section II-B, equivalent network models can be developed for multi-node wireless systems.

1) General Framework: A general MIMO network consisting of \( N_U \) nodes can be constructed. Denoting quantities for the \( u \)th node with \( \{ \cdot \}_u \), we have the general model

\[
v_p^{(u)} = v^{oc}_1^{(u)} \{ e^{inc}(u)(\hat{r}) \} + Z^{(u)} i_p^{(u)} ,
\]

(31)

\[
e^{inc}(u)(\hat{r}) = h^{(u)} \{ e^{(1)}(r), \ldots, e^{(N_U)}(r) \},
\]

(32)

\[
e^{(u)}(\hat{r}) = e^{inc}(u)(\hat{r}) + e^{oc,scat}(\hat{r}) \{ e^{inc}(u)(\hat{r}) \}
+ E^{oc}(u)(\hat{r}) i_p^{(u)},
\]

(33)

where the notation \( g \{ \cdot \} \) indicates that \( g \) is a functional, operating on vector function arguments to produce a new vector function, and \( h^{(u)} \{ \cdot \} \) is the channel operator for the \( u \)th node. If the channel operator \( h^{(u)} \{ \cdot \} \) is linear, then the complete MIMO system can be represented as a linear \( N_U \)-port network, where signals on the \( u \)th port are described with \( v_p^{(u)} \) and \( i_p^{(u)} \), which are \( N_U \times 1 \) vectors.

Equations (31)-(33) are very general and can be used to represent far-field or near-field interaction of transmitters, receivers, or combined transmit/receive nodes. To be of use, however, a specific scenario must be considered allowing simplification of these expressions.

2) Two-node MIMO Network Model: Consider the case where Node 1 is a transmitter and Node 2 a receiver that are in the far-field of one another with discrete multipath. At transmit

\[
v_p^{(1)} = Z^{(1)} i_p^{(1)}
\]

\[
e^{(1)}(r) = E^{oc(1)}(r) i_p^{(1)},
\]

(34)

where incident field (reflection from scatterers or signals from the receiver) is assumed to be zero.

Likewise, at the receiver

\[
v_p^{(2)} = Z^{(2)} i_p^{(2)} + v^{oc(2)}
\]

(35)

Assuming that the channel has \( L \) discrete multipath where the \( \ell \)th path is scattered from transmit direction \( \hat{k}_\ell^{(2)} \) into receive direction \( \hat{k}_\ell^{(2)} \) and applies a matrix gain \( \beta_\ell \), the normalized channel operator is defined as

\[
h^{(2)} \{ e^{(1)}(\hat{r}) \} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \beta_\ell \exp(jk_\ell \cdot \hat{r}) e^{(1)}(\hat{k}_\ell^{(1)}) ,
\]

(36)

where \( e^{(1)}(\hat{r}) = e^{(1)}(\hat{r}) \exp(-jkr)/r \). The normalized open-circuit voltage at the receiver is

\[
v^{oc(2)} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} E^{oc(2) T}(\hat{k}_\ell^{(2)}) \beta_\ell E^{(1)}(\hat{k}_\ell^{(1)}) i_p^{(1)}.
\]

(37)

Obviously, these equations form a linear network with

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  v_p^{(1)} \\
  v_p^{(2)}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
  Z^{(1)} & Z^{(1,2)} \\
  Z^{(2,1)} & Z^{(2)}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  i_p^{(1)} \\
  i_p^{(2)}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

(38)
where \( Z^{(1,2)} = 0 \), which came from assuming zero incident field at Node 1. Note that the network can also be represented with an \( S \)-parameter or admittance matrix as desired.

### IV. Numerical Examples

This section provides numerical examples, not only to validate the previous analytical results, but also to help provide intuition on the behavior of the multi-antenna systems with coupling.

#### A. Single Antenna: Transmission vs. Reception

First, we study a simple single-antenna MOM simulation. Although somewhat trivial, this case demonstrates the important principle that the difference in transmit and receive current distributions on an antenna is exactly predicted by the open-circuit current.

The Numerical Electromagnetics Code Version 2 (NEC2) [17] was used for simulations of a single \( z \)-directed dipole with length \( \ell = 0.475\lambda \) and radius \( a = 0.001\lambda \). The antenna was first simulated in the transmit mode with a 1 V source placed across the terminals and the resulting current on the antenna \( i_{TX}(z) \) and \( z \)-directed far-zone E fields versus azimuth angle \( \phi \) were stored. Second, the antenna was simulated in receive mode with a plane coming from azimuth angle \( \phi \) with \( e_0 = 1\frac{V}{\lambda} \) for loads \( Z_L \in \{0, 35, 70, 140, 280, 10^3, 10^6\} \Omega \) giving antenna current \( i_{RX}(z, Z_L) \).

Figure 4 plots the current distribution on the antenna for different loads obtained in two ways. First, the load current in the receive mode combined with the open-circuit current (\( Z_L = 10^6 \Omega \)) is used to compute the current everywhere on the antenna, or \( i_1(z, Z_L) = i_{TX}(z)/i_{TX}(0)i_{RX}(0, Z_L) + i_{RX}(z, 10^6 \Omega) \), where \( z = 0 \) is the load position. In this first case, the current can be computed for any receive load using just two basis functions. Second, the current is taken directly from receive mode simulations \( i_2(z, Z_L) = i_{RX}(z, Z_L) \).

The result indicates nearly perfect agreement in the current distribution for the receive mode simulation for different loads and the value predicted from transmit-mode quantities. This also confirms that for the chosen incident field, the current distribution on the antenna is the sum of only two independent basis functions.

#### B. Two Dipole Simulation

Next, we consider the case of two dipoles and show that load voltages in the receive mode are exactly predicted by transmit-mode only quantities, regardless of dipole separation. We also demonstrate that for wide dipoles, the error incurred by assuming isolated element patterns may become prohibitive.

1) Thin-wire Dipoles: NEC2 simulations were performed for two \( z \)-directed dipoles separated by distance \( \Delta x \) with \( \ell = 0.475\lambda \) and \( a = 0.001\lambda \). First, the array is analyzed in the transmit mode, where the quantities \( Y \) and \( E^{oc}(\hat{r}) \) are found by performing \( N_P \) simulations, where for the \( k \)-th simulation, port \( k \) is driven with a 1 V source across the terminals and short circuits (PEC) are placed across the terminals of the other elements. The resulting vector of terminal currents is denoted \( \mathbf{i}^{(k)} \), giving the \( k \)-th column of the admittance matrix \( \mathbf{Y} \). Far-fields are \( \mathbf{E}^{oc}(\hat{r}) = \mathbf{e}^{oc}(\hat{r})\exp(-jkr)/r \), and the far-field pattern \( \mathbf{e}^{oc}(\hat{r}) \) is stored for the azimuthal plane \( (\hat{r}(\phi) = \hat{x}\cos\phi + \hat{y}\sin\phi) \), yielding the \( k \)-th column of \( \mathbf{E}^{oc}(\phi) \). After performing a simulation for each port, the impedance matrix is computed with \( \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Y}^{-1} \) and \( \mathbf{E}^{oc} \) is found from (18) as \( \mathbf{E}^{oc}(\phi) = \mathbf{E}^{oc}(\phi)\mathbf{Z} \). Second, the array is analyzed directly in the receive mode by terminating each antenna with \( Z_L = 50 \Omega \) and running a separate simulation for a plane wave arriving in the \( xy \) plane from azimuthal angle \( \phi \) with \( e_0 = 1\frac{V}{\lambda} \).

The load voltage on one of the antennas in the receive mode versus the arrival angle \( \phi \) is obtained three different ways. In the first case, the open-circuit voltage of the array for arrival angle \( \phi \) is computed using the embedded transmit patterns \( \mathbf{E}^{oc}(\hat{r}) \) according to (13), after which the loaded voltage for arbitrary load impedance \( \mathbf{Z}_L \) can be computed. In the second case, we apply the same procedure, except that the open-circuit voltage is computed using the transmit patterns of \( \text{isolated} \) elements (i.e. one antenna is driven with 1 V and the other is removed). In the third case, the voltages from direct receive-mode simulations are used.

Figures 5(a) and (b) show the amplitude and phase, respectively, of the voltage on antenna 1 for \( \phi = 0 \) and \( \phi = 90^\circ \). The result shows exact agreement between the transmit and receive mode cases when the embedded patterns are used to obtain \( \mathbf{v}^{oc} \). Also, since the results are not normalized, the agreement validates the scaling constant in (13) relating \( \mathbf{v}^{oc} \) to the embedded open-circuit transmit patterns. When isolated patterns are used, however, a small amount of error (mainly in the amplitude) is created for dipoles separated by less than 0.3\( \lambda \), which may or may not be tolerable depending on the application.

2) Printed Planar Dipoles: Next we consider printed dipoles, allowing us to see the behavior of antennas that are not minimally scattering. Planar moment method simulations were performed using Agilent Advanced Design System (ADS) for the structure depicted in Figure 6, where the ADS coordinate system has been adopted. The printed dipoles consist of two copper lines on an \( h = 1.52 \text{ mm} \) substrate with relative permittivity \( \epsilon_r = 3.5 \) and no ground plane. The length \( \ell \) of the dipole arms was chosen to be \( \lambda/5 \), producing resonance...
near the simulation frequency of 2.0 GHz. The width $w$ of the dipoles was either $\lambda/100$ or $\lambda/10$ to see the effect of increased antenna scattering. A 1 mm gap is present between the two dipole arms and internal ports are used to excite the antenna that are placed 0.5 mm into each arm. We consider radiation/reception of the $y$-directed electric field propagating in the $xz$ plane.

Since ADS does not currently provide a true receive-mode simulation, based on the analytical results and simulations in Section IV-B.1, we assume that predictions of receive-mode voltages using the embedded patterns and proper network analysis are sufficiently accurate. As in Section IV-B.1 we compute the receive load voltage for $Z_L = 50\Omega$ on each antenna for a plane-wave arriving from direction $\hat{\xi}$ (broadside excitation). A simulation of a single printed dipole is also used to obtain the transmit pattern for an isolated element.

Figures 7(a) and (b) show the amplitude and phase of the load voltage on antenna 1 for different spacings of the printed dipoles. Note that at $\Delta x = 0.1\lambda$, the antennas barely touch for case of $w = \lambda/10$, which appears to create a phase discontinuity. Solid lines and dashed lines show exact computations with the embedded patterns, and approximate computations using isolated patterns, respectively. Although for $w = \lambda/100$ (thin case) the error of using isolated elements is small below $\Delta x = 0.3\lambda$, for $w = \lambda/10$ (wide case) the dipoles show a significant difference even above $\Delta x = 1\lambda$. Also, for close spacing, the error of using isolated patterns is much higher for the wide dipoles compared to the thinner ones. These results indicate the danger of using isolated patterns to analyze very compact arrays or antennas that have large metal surfaces that cannot be treated as minimum scattering.

C. Simulation of a Parasitic Array

In this section we demonstrate the behavior of a larger array with parasitic loading. We also study the effect of a varying port current distribution that can affect the accuracy of the network analysis computations.

Moment method simulations of a 7-element uniform linear array of dipoles were performed using NEC2 identical to the antennas in Sections IV-A and IV-B.1, except a fixed inter-element spacing of $\Delta x = 0.1\lambda$ was used. We also consider the case of a distributed load occupying $N_{S,\text{load}}$ of the segments at the middle of the antenna, allowing the port current distribution to be changed.

First, we demonstrate how the size of the load can affect the accuracy of network computations. Figure 8 depicts the directive gain of the array for $N_{S,\text{load}} = 1$ (thick black line) obtained from a single transmit-mode NEC2 simulation when the center element (antenna 4) is driven with an active source, antennas 1-3 are terminated with $Z_{L1} = 73\Omega$, and antennas 5-7 are terminated with $Z_L = 30\Omega$. Next, directional gain was computed with network analysis using $Z$ and $E^{\text{oc}}(\phi)$ and the results of Section III-A. The fractional error of the network analysis solution compared to the direct solution is shown as thin lines in the plot. For small $N_{S,\text{load}}$, network analysis and the direct solution give nearly identical results, whereas for increasing $N_{S,\text{load}}$ moderate error is obtained.
Finally, we illustrate again that transmit-mode quantities can precisely predict the receive-mode response. Figure 9 plots the terminal voltage on three of the antennas for a single plane wave, where antennas 1–4, and 5–7 are terminated with $Z_L = 73\Omega$ and $30\Omega$, respectively, and $N_{S,\text{load}} = 1$. The receive load voltage was computed with network analysis using transmit mode quantities (solid lines) and with direct receive-mode NEC2 simulations for plane waves at specific angles (points). Nearly exact agreement is obtained, indicating that the receive-mode behavior can be computed from the usual transmit-mode quantities.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper has analyzed antenna arrays consisting of general PEC surfaces based on a rigorous method of moments (MOM) formulation, showing that equivalent circuit models are exact from the standpoint of electromagnetic wave theory. The results also indicate that receive-mode operation of arrays is exactly predicted by employing the usual transmit-mode network parameters (Z, Y, or S-parameters) and an excitation-dependent source term. For the case of reciprocal antennas and far-field sources, this source term is completely determined by the transmit-mode embedded radiation patterns of the array. Two sources of possible error in equivalent network models were identified, namely using isolated instead of embedded element patterns and modeling the incorrect current mode at the ports. Numerical examples demonstrated the validity of the analytical results and the effect of the sources of error.
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